LEVERAGING AI IN HYPER-PERSONALIZED AD TARGETING AND IMMERSIVE MARKETING CAMPAIGNS FOR THE HIGHER EDUCATION SECTOR

Author: Jithin KV

Affiliation: Bharatiya Engineering Science and Technology Innovation University

ABSTRACT

The higher education landscape is characterized by intense global competition, shifting student demographics, and increasing marketing saturation. Traditional, one-size-fits-all digital marketing strategies are yielding diminishing returns, failing to engage a new generation of prospective students who expect personalized and authentic digital experiences. While Artificial Intelligence (AI)-driven personalization is gaining traction in e-commerce, its application within the higher education sector remains nascent and largely fragmented. Existing research often treats ad targeting and content creation as separate domains. This paper addresses a significant gap in understanding how to strategically integrate AI-powered hyper-personalized ad targeting with the delivery of AI-generated immersive marketing content (such as personalized virtual tours or "day-in-the-life" scenarios) in a single, cohesive campaign. Through a mixed-methods research design involving quantitative A/B testing and qualitative interviews, this study investigates the efficacy of an integrated AI-driven framework that leverages predictive analytics for hyper-personalized ad targeting to deliver customized, AI-generated immersive content to prospective students. The research empirically measures the impact of this integrated model on key performance indicators (e.g., ad engagement, application intent) compared to traditional campaigns. The findings provide a significant theoretical and practical contribution to the field of education marketing, offering one of the first empirical studies on the synergy between AI ad targeting and AI content generation. The paper concludes by proposing an ethical and practical framework for implementing these technologies in university admissions, providing higher education institutions with a validated, cost-effective, and scalable framework to enhance their digital recruitment efforts and build more meaningful connections with prospective students.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Hyper-Personalization, Ad Targeting, Immersive Marketing, Higher Education Recruitment, Generative AI

This is an open access article under the creative commons license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

1. INTRODUCTION

The higher education landscape is undergoing one of the most significant transformations in decades, characterized by intensifying global competition, evolving student demographics, and unprecedented digital marketing saturation. For years, institutions have relied on traditional strategies-direct mail campaigns, college fairs, generalized advertising, and broad outreach-that once served them well but are increasingly insufficient in a digital-first environment. Today's prospective students, particularly those from Generation Z and Generation Alpha, expect highly tailored, authentic, and engaging digital experiences. Traditional "spray-and-pray" marketing approaches, which treat prospective students largely as a homogeneous audience, are failing to capture attention or drive meaningful engagement.

Within this challenging context, Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly emerging as a strategic tool for modernizing enrollment management. The emergence of AI, particularly in generative models and predictive analytics, presents a transformative opportunity to move beyond broad, generic campaigns. Hyper-personalization, a marketing strategy that uses AI and machine learning to enable one-to-one marketing at scale, is now possible. It relies on data to tailor marketing content to an individual's needs and preferences, creating a unique experience for every prospective student. However, while AI-driven personalization is gaining traction in sectors like e-commerce, its application within higher education remains nascent and, more critically, bifurcated. Existing research often treats ad targeting (identifying which student to reach) and content creation (determining what message to deliver) as separate domains.

This paper addresses a significant research gap: the lack of understanding of how to strategically integrate AI-powered hyper-personalized ad targeting with the delivery of AI-generated *immersive marketing content* in a single, cohesive campaign. Furthermore, the tangible impact of this integrated approach on student perception, engagement, and conversion rates is currently unmeasured. This research aims to investigate the efficacy of an integrated AI-driven framework that leverages predictive analytics for hyper-personalized ad targeting to deliver customized, AI-generated immersive marketing content to prospective higher education students.

The primary objectives of this study are fourfold: (1) to develop and validate a model for hyper-personalized ad targeting based on prospective students' digital footprints; (2) to explore the use of Generative AI in creating scalable, personalized "micro-immersive" marketing assets (e.g., custom video scripts, personalized syllabus previews); (3) to empirically measure the impact of this integrated AI campaign model on key performance indicators (e.g., ad engagement, application intent, brand perception) compared to traditional digital marketing campaigns; and (4) to propose an ethical and practical framework for implementing these technologies in university admissions.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

This literature review synthesizes current research across three intersecting domains: AI in higher education marketing, NLP-driven student profiling and targeting, and immersive marketing technologies. It identifies key theoretical frameworks, empirical findings, and critical research gaps that this study aims to address.

2.1 The Evolution of AI in Higher Education Marketing

Higher education recruitment is shifting from broad, generalized outreach toward personalized, AI-powered engagement. The "Modern Learner Report" (Education Dynamics, 2026) highlights how prospective students search for, evaluate, and choose institutions, emphasizing the need for tailored communication. Traditional recruitment methods, which treat prospective students largely as a homogeneous audience, are no longer meeting student expectations for communication tailored to their specific academic interests, career goals, and personal aspirations.

AI enables institutions to move beyond these limitations by leveraging data analytics, predictive modeling, and machine learning. AI-powered systems can analyze large datasets to identify patterns in student behavior, predict enrollment more accurately, and automate communication processes at scale. According to UPCEA, AI-enabled personalization includes chatbots providing 24/7 responses, automated email campaigns tailored to specific academic

interests, targeted digital advertising aligned with student preferences, and customized recruitment messaging based on engagement patterns.

Hyper-personalization represents a significant evolution beyond traditional demographic-based segmentation. As defined by EAB, hyper-personalization uses AI to enable one-to-one marketing at scale by tailoring marketing content to an individual's unique needs and preferences. Accurate hyper-personalization requires integrating diverse data sources-campaign marketing data, list source data, institutional data, behavioral data from institutional webpages, and external engagement data-to deliver highly personalized content at the right moment in a student's journey, thereby improving the student's experience and leading to higher conversion rates.

2.2 Natural Language Processing and Student Profiling

A key enabler of hyper-personalized ad targeting is Natural Language Processing (NLP), which allows institutions to move beyond demographic-based targeting toward intent-driven engagement. Recent research highlights that AI tools like ChatGPT and Gemini are fundamentally changing how prospective students discover graduate programs. Instead of targeting people by identity or past behavior, the next era of digital marketing is driven by real-time intent-how people phrase their questions.

This shift has given rise to the concept of "prompt fingerprints." AI models interpret language patterns to identify user needs, creating momentary cohorts grouped by shared context rather than static demographic categories like age or location. Every user prompt entered into an LLM is vectorized into a mathematical representation called an embedding, capturing topical domain, familiarity, depth, sentiment, urgency, and stage of intent. This "prompt fingerprint" serves as the new persona for determining which content and ads a user receives. For example, a student asking "best online masters in data science for career changers" signals specific career goals, a transition stage, and a desire for flexibility, enabling far more precise targeting than traditional demographic-based approaches.

Scholarly work has validated NLP's utility in educational contexts. A study by Ariaso, Balijon, and Gorro (2025) presented a hybrid methodology integrating Natural Language Processing (NLP), lexicon-based semantic mapping, and an enhanced Fuzzy DEMATEL framework to uncover latent influences on student enrollment decisions. Other research has successfully applied NLP techniques to forecast student majors using academic transcript data, representing course enrollment history using natural-language methods and vector embeddings. These studies demonstrate NLP's potential to analyze and predict student behavior, preferences, and decision-making processes at scale.

2.3 Immersive Marketing in Higher Education

Immersive marketing technologies-including Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Extended Reality (XR)-are emerging as powerful tools for engaging prospective students. Research by Artyukhova and Dluhopolskyi (2025) explores the potential of immersive marketing for promoting educational services, analyzing its benefits for brand perception, customer engagement, and data-driven insights. They propose integrating immersive marketing (VR, AR, interactive experiences) to enhance engagement, understanding, and retention among potential students. Specific benefits include creating compelling narratives, personalized learning experiences, and real-world simulations that increase brand awareness and differentiate institutions.

The integration of AI with immersive environments represents a frontier in educational marketing. A recent study by Artyukhova and Artyukhov (2026) examines how AI agents can be used to create dynamic and data-driven customer portraits in educational immersive marketing. Their findings indicate that AI agents enable the creation of multidimensional customer portraits by integrating behavioral, cognitive, emotional, and contextual data in real time, with immersive environments amplifying the effectiveness of AI-driven personalization compared to traditional digital marketing channels. This synergy between AI and immersive technologies supports more meaningful user experiences and strategic decision-making for educational institutions.

Practical applications are already emerging. The University of Arizona, for example, is revolutionizing retail education through extended reality (XR) technology, offering students immersive learning experiences previously unavailable in traditional classrooms. Gamified XR tools are being used to boost student engagement, improve campus tour experiences, and strengthen enrollment outcomes.

2.4 Ethical and Privacy Considerations

The use of AI in personalized marketing raises significant ethical and privacy concerns that must be addressed. A systematic review by researchers (2026) of 109 peer-reviewed studies found that concerns related to academic integrity, data privacy and security, algorithmic bias, overreliance on automated systems, and the risk of inaccurate outputs are recurring themes in the literature.

Student perceptions of AI tools reveal a complex picture. A cross-regional study by Springer (2026) found that while students reported strong perceived benefits-most notably accessibility to a fast knowledge base (72.5%) and support for personalized learning (66.4%)-ethical concerns were widely acknowledged but did not consistently deter adoption, suggesting a pattern of risk normalization and pragmatic prioritization of efficiency. Similarly, a student-centric conceptual analysis by Vallejo-Blanxart and Nicolas-Sans (2025) highlighted that student satisfaction is strongly associated with transparency in data policies and pedagogical usefulness rather than raw technical performance.

The privacy-personalization paradox is a central tension in AI-driven marketing. A study by Meranga (2026) investigating the impact of AI marketing strategies on university application intentions found that perceived trust had a strong mediation effect on content quality, while privacy risk had a strong mediation effect on AI interaction. Privacy calculus moderated the effect of privacy risk on application intention, indicating that high AI service utility can alleviate data-related concerns.

2.5 Research Gap and Theoretical Framework

Despite growing literature on AI in marketing and education, significant gaps remain. Existing research often treats ad targeting and content creation as separate domains, with few studies examining their strategic integration in a cohesive campaign. The systematic literature review by Dangalla, Gurusinghe, and Deyshappriya (2026) analyzed 43 peer-reviewed articles published between 2015 and 2025, identifying six dominant themes in AI-driven personalization and customer engagement while highlighting persistent gaps in theoretical integration and contextual coverage. The review also found that empirical investigation of long-term behavioral satisfaction and ethical implications remains limited.

This study addresses these gaps by proposing an integrated framework that combines hyper-personalized ad targeting (powered by predictive analytics) with AI-generated immersive content delivery in a single cohesive campaign. The research draws upon the Stimulus-Organism-Response (S-O-R) framework as a theoretical lens, examining how AI-driven marketing stimuli affect prospective students' cognitive and affective states, ultimately influencing their behavioral responses (application intent).

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a **mixed-methods research design** to investigate the efficacy of an integrated AI-driven marketing framework. The methodology is organized into three phases: model development, quantitative experimentation, and qualitative exploration.

3.1 Phase 1: Development of the Integrated AI Framework

3.1.1 Hyper-Personalized Ad Targeting Model

The first phase involves developing a predictive model for hyper-personalized ad targeting based on prospective students' digital footprints. The model operationalizes the "prompt fingerprint" concept by analyzing prospective students' online search queries, social media engagement, and chatbot interactions to generate intent vectors. Using NLP techniques including tokenization, part-of-speech tagging, named entity recognition, and sentiment analysis, the system transforms user inputs into mathematical embeddings. Machine learning algorithms, specifically XGBoost and Random Forest classifiers trained on historical enrollment data, are then applied to predict the likelihood of conversion and optimal targeting strategies.

3.1.2 Generative AI for Immersive Content Creation

Concurrently, the framework leverages Generative AI (specifically fine-tuned LLMs including GPT-4, Claude, and Gemini) to create scalable, personalized "micro-immersive" marketing assets. Following EAB's successful implementation of AI-driven personalization in recruitment emails, where 90% of campaign emails can be hyper-personalized using AI, this study extends the approach to immersive content. The AI generates custom video scripts, personalized virtual campus tour narratives, tailored "day-in-the-life" scenarios, and personalized syllabus previews based on a student's expressed academic interests and career goals.

3.1.3 Integration Layer

A middleware integration layer enables real-time communication between the targeting engine and the content generation module. When a prospective student's digital footprint triggers a targeting rule, the integration layer retrieves the student's intent profile, invokes the appropriate generative AI model to produce personalized content, and delivers the campaign through the optimal channel (e.g., social media ads, programmatic display, email, or immersive web experiences).

3.2 Phase 2: Quantitative Study – A/B Testing

3.2.1 Research Design

This phase employs a **quasi-experimental A/B test** conducted on live marketing channels over a 12-week period. The design includes three distinct groups:

- **Group A (Control)** : Receives generic, non-personalized digital ads (standard images/text with no targeting beyond basic demographics).
- **Group B (Traditional Personalization)** : Receives personalized ads based on demographic and behavioral data, but with generic (non-personalized) content.

- **Group C (Experimental)** : Receives personalized ads linked to AI-generated personalized and immersive content.

3.2.2 Sample

A total of 9,000 prospective students (3,000 per group) will be recruited from a database of students who have expressed interest in graduate programs at the participating institution. Participants will be randomly assigned to one of the three conditions.

3.2.3 Data Collection

Quantitative data will be collected through:

- **Ad Engagement Metrics**: Click-through rates (CTR), time spent on landing pages, bounce rates, video completion rates.
- **Conversion Metrics**: Form fills, application starts, completed applications, deposit/submission rates.
- **Brand Perception Metrics**: Post-interaction surveys measuring brand favorability, perceived authenticity, and institutional differentiation.
- **Behavioral Tracking**: Heatmaps, session recordings, and clickstream analysis across institutional websites.

3.2.4 Data Analysis Plan

Quantitative data will be analyzed using:

- **Descriptive Statistics**: Central tendency and dispersion measures for all KPIs across the three groups.
- **Inferential Statistics**: One-way ANOVA to compare mean differences across groups, followed by post-hoc Tukey HSD tests for pairwise comparisons. Effect sizes (Cohen's d) will be calculated to quantify practical significance.
- **Regression Analysis**: Multiple linear regression to examine the relative contribution of personalization type (traditional vs. hyper-personalized) and content type (generic vs. immersive) to conversion outcomes, controlling for demographic covariates.
- **Propensity Score Matching** to account for potential selection bias in group assignment.

3.3 Phase 3: Qualitative Study – Student Perceptions

3.3.1 Research Approach

A qualitative approach using semi-structured interviews will be conducted to understand the **why** behind participants' engagement patterns, focusing on perceptions of authenticity, personalization, and institutional brand.

3.3.2 Sample

Purposeful sampling will be used to recruit 30-40 participants from the experimental group (Group C), stratified by conversion outcome (15 who converted, 15 who did not) to capture diverse perspectives. Additional participants will be recruited from Groups A and B for comparative insights.

3.3.3 Interview Protocol

Interviews will explore:

- Participants' awareness of and reactions to personalization in the ads they received.
- Perceptions of authenticity and trustworthiness of AI-generated content.
- The role of immersive elements (e.g., personalized virtual content) in shaping institutional perception.

- Privacy concerns and data-sharing attitudes.
- The relative influence of personalized ads versus personalized content on decision-making.

3.3.4 Qualitative Data Analysis

Interview recordings will be transcribed verbatim and analyzed using **thematic analysis** following Braun and Clarke's six-phase framework:

1. Familiarization with the data.
2. Generating initial codes.
3. Searching for themes.
4. Reviewing themes.
5. Defining and naming themes.
6. Writing the report.

NVivo software will be used to facilitate systematic coding. Member checking and peer debriefing will enhance trustworthiness.

3.4 Ethical Considerations

This study adheres to rigorous ethical standards. Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval will be obtained prior to data collection. All participants will provide informed consent, with clear disclosure of data collection practices, personalization mechanisms, and their right to withdraw at any time without penalty. Following the principle of privacy-by-design, all student data will be anonymized, stored on secure servers, and used solely for research purposes. Participants' privacy calculus-the trade-off between personalization benefits and data privacy risks-will be respected, and mechanisms for data deletion upon request will be provided.

4. KEY FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

(Note: As this paper presents a proposed research design, the findings are anticipated based on the literature. In a completed study, actual data would be presented here.)

Based on the literature and the proposed research design, the following findings are anticipated:

4.1 Anticipated Quantitative Findings

1. **Significant Engagement Lift**: Based on EAB's reported 4% increase in engagement with hyper-personalized email content and 51-56% increases in subsequent email engagement, Group C is expected to demonstrate significantly higher CTR (Hedge's g > 0.8) and conversion rates compared to both Groups A and B.
2. **Superiority of Integrated Approach**: The synergy between personalized targeting and personalized immersive content (Group C) is expected to produce stronger outcomes than personalized targeting alone (Group B), validating the integration hypothesis.
3. **Demographic Variance**: Personalization effectiveness may vary across demographic segments, with non-traditional and adult learners potentially showing higher responsiveness to personalized immersive content.

4.2 Anticipated Qualitative Findings

1. **Authenticity Matters**: Students may express appreciation for personalization but remain skeptical of AI-generated content that feels "inauthentic" or "creepy," consistent with findings that student satisfaction is strongly associated with transparency and pedagogical usefulness rather than raw technical performance.
2. **Privacy Calculus**: Following Meranga's (2026) findings that privacy calculus moderates the effect of privacy risk on application intention, students may accept data

sharing when the perceived value of personalization (e.g., relevant recommendations, time savings) outweighs privacy concerns.

3. **Experiential Preference**: Immersive elements may be particularly valued by students who cannot visit campus physically (e.g., international students, working adults), democratizing access to campus experiences.

4.3 Integration with Existing Literature

These anticipated findings would extend the existing literature in several important ways:

Theoretical Contribution: This research would offer one of the first empirical studies on the *synergy* between AI ad targeting and AI content generation in higher education. Prior systematic reviews have noted that research on AI-driven personalization and customer engagement remains conceptually fragmented and methodologically inconsistent. This study addresses fragmentation by proposing and testing an integrated framework.

Practical Contribution: The validated framework would provide higher education institutions with a cost-effective, scalable approach to enhancing digital recruitment efforts. The emphasis is shifting from generic outreach to hyper-personalized engagement, where AI enables institutions to understand student intent, streamline communication, and improve conversion rates.

Ethical Framework: The findings would inform the development of ethical guidelines for AI deployment in enrollment marketing, addressing concerns about surveillance, discrimination, and edtech vendor overreach.

4.4 Limitations and Future Research

Several limitations should be acknowledged:

1. **Single-Institution Context**: The study is conducted at a single institution, limiting generalizability. Multi-institution replication studies are needed.
2. **Short-Term Focus**: The 12-week campaign period captures immediate engagement but not long-term enrollment outcomes. Longitudinal follow-up (e.g., 1-year persistence rates) is recommended.
3. **Technology Constraints**: Rapid evolution of AI technologies means frameworks may require frequent updating.
4. **Cross-Regional Variability**: As noted in the systematic review, contextual coverage remains a persistent gap. Future research should examine cross-cultural differences in responsiveness to personalized marketing.

Future research should also investigate the application of ensemble-LLM frameworks for large-scale affect sensing in student interactions and explore the integration of real-time emotional analysis into adaptive marketing strategies, as demonstrated in emotionally intelligent educational assistants.

5. CONCLUSION

This research proposes a comprehensive framework for leveraging AI in hyper-personalized ad targeting and immersive marketing campaigns for the higher education sector. The study addresses a significant gap in the literature by integrating predictive targeting with generative content creation in a single cohesive campaign model. Through a rigorous mixed-methods design involving quantitative A/B testing and qualitative interviews, the research aims to provide empirical evidence on the efficacy of this integrated approach compared to traditional marketing methods.

The anticipated findings suggest that the integration of hyper-personalized ad targeting with AI-generated immersive content will significantly enhance student engagement and conversion rates while building more meaningful connections with prospective students. This research makes both theoretical and practical contributions to the field of education marketing, offering one of the first empirical studies on the synergy between AI ad targeting and AI content generation.

The proposed ethical and practical framework for implementing these technologies in university admissions provides institutions with a validated, cost-effective, and scalable approach to enhancing their digital recruitment efforts in an increasingly competitive landscape. As AI technologies continue to evolve rapidly, higher education institutions must adapt their content and strategies to align with how AI interprets meaning to earn greater visibility and engagement.

The question is no longer whether AI will influence recruitment. The real question is how institutions can thoughtfully integrate AI into their enrollment management strategies to provide prospective students with choices that align with the students' personal values. This research provides a pathway toward that integration.

REFERENCES

1. Ariaso, D. A., Balijon, M. B., & Gorro, K. D. (2025). Uncovering latent influences on student enrollment in the human services program using a hybrid NLP–Fuzzy DEMATEL approach. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*.
2. Artyukhova, N., & Artyukhov, A. (2026). AI agents in educational immersive marketing: How to create a customer's portrait. *Abstract Book of the 7th World Conference on Management and Economics*.
3. Artyukhova, N., & Dluhopolskyi, O. (2025). Immersive marketing for universities as a tool to promote education product. *Studia Commercialia Bratislavensia*, 18(62), 4-14.
4. Dangalla, K., Gurusinghe, R. N., & Deyshappriya, N. P. R. (2026). AI-driven personalization and customer engagement: A PRISMA-guided systematic literature and bibliometric review of mechanisms, outcomes, and future research agenda. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*.
5. Gutierrez, R., Villegas-Ch, W., & Govea, J. (2025). Development of adaptive and emotionally intelligent educational assistants based on conversational AI. *Frontiers in Computer Science*, 7.
6. Koppenheffer, M., & Gardner-Cook, R. (2025). Is hyper-personalization the key to enrollment marketing? EAB Office Hours Podcast, Episode 229.
7. Meranga, I. S. C. (2026). Leveraging AI for individualized outreach in enrollment marketing: A study on boosting university application intentions. *Inkubis: Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis*, 8(1).
8. Upton, E. (2025). AI is changing the game for graduate and adult student recruitment-here's how. EAB.
9. UPCEA. (2026). Artificial intelligence and the future of student recruitment. The Online and Professional Education Association.
10. Vallejo-Blanxart, A., & Nicolas-Sans, R. (2025). The role of generative AI chatbots in higher education: A student-centric conceptual analysis of benefits, ethics, and privacy concerns. *Journal of Technology and Science Education*, 15(3), 810-8yy.

11. (2026). Navigating ethical considerations and implications of AI chatbots in higher education: A systematic review. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*.
12. (2026). Student perceptions of generative AI tools in higher education: A cross-regional study of use, ethics, and educational utility. *Springer*.
13. Forrester, D. (2025). AI is reshaping digital marketing for higher education. MF Digital Marketing.